

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,862	01/26/2004	Hideyuki Seto	17400	9396
23389 7	590 07/06/2006	EXAMINER		
	OTT MURPHY & PI	CATTUNGAL, SANJAY		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
		DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			SETO ET AL.			
		10/764,862 Examiner	Art Unit			
	,		3768			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Sanjay Cattungal ears on the cover sheet with the c				
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/28/	<u>06</u> .				
,—	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,432,046 to Yarush et al. ("Yarush") in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,970,308 to Otsuka ("Otsuka") further in view of 5,392,067 to Konno et al. ("Konno")
- 4. Regarding Claims 1, 3, 4, and 7, Yarush teaches an endoscope comprising: an elongated flexible inserting portion (Fig. 12a, element 270); an operating portion which is mounted on the proximal end side of the inserting portion and which has a grip portion capable of being gripped by an operator (Fig. 1, element 44); an objective optical system which is mounted to a distal end portion of the inserting portion and which can transmit an optical image of a subject into the inserting portion (Fig. 1, element 10); image guiding fibers which can transmit the optical image incident from the distal end side via the objective optical system, to the proximal end side and which is inserted to the inserting portion so that the proximal end side extends in the grip portion

Application/Control Number: 10/764,862 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

from the inserting portion (Col. 3, Lines 20-32); an optical image output portion which constitutes the proximal end side of the image guiding fibers and which outputs the optical image transmitted from the distal end side (Fig. 1, element 36);

- 5. Yarush does not teach the use of a plate frame in the operation portion for suppressing a deforming of the operating portion.
- 6. Otsuka discloses the use of plates for suppressing deformation. (Col. 8, Lines 58-61)
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yarush with a setup such that plates would be used at the operating portion, since such a setup would help resist forces and prevent unwanted deformation.

 (Col. 8, Lines 58-61)
- 8. Yarush and Otsuka teach all of the above claimed limitations but do not expressly teach a setup such that the plate frame has a notch portion to mount the image pick-up unit at a position at which the optical image transmitted by the optical output potion can be picked up in the operating potion.
- 9. Konno discloses a setup such that an endoscope has a notch potion to mount the image pick-up unit at a position at which the optical image transmitted by the optical output potion can be picked up in the operating potion. The image pick up unit is mounted in parallel to the operating portion. (Fig. 36, elements 27, 27a and 12)
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yarush and Otsuka with a setup such that the plate frame has a notch portion to mount the image pick-up unit at a position at which the optical image

Application/Control Number: 10/764,862

Art Unit: 3768

transmitted by the optical output potion can be picked up in the operating potion since, attaching the image pick up unit with the plate frame would provide more stability and support to the image pick up unit.

Page 4

- 11. Regarding **Claim 5**, Yarush teaches an image pick-up device holding unit which holds the image pick-up unit holding portion which holds the image pick up unit; an optical system holding portion which holds the objective optical system (Fig. 9, element 200); a fitting portion which fits the optical system holding portion and the image pickup unit holding portion while advancing and returning them so that the image pick-up unit can pick up the optical image outputted from the objective optical system (Fig. 9, element 200);; and a waterproof structure portion mounted to the fitting portion. (Col. 23, line 66 through Col. 24, Line 8)
- 12. Regarding **Claim 6**, Yarush teaches an endoscope comprising: an elongated flexible inserting portion; and an operating portion which is mounted on the proximal end side of the inserting portion and which has a grip portion capable of being gripped by an operator, the endoscope further comprising: an electric device outputting predetermined signals (Fig. 2, element 70); an internal structure mounted in the operating portion, which is inserted in the grip portion from the proximal end side thereof to the distal end side; a notch portion mounted to the internal structure; and electric device mounting means which is mounted to the internal structure and mounts the electric device within the notch portion.(Fig. 2, element 70 and Fig. 9, element 67)
- 13. Regarding Claims 8-10, Konno teaches an adjusting and fixing means which can adjust the bending amount of the image guiding fibers and which fixes the image pick-

Art Unit: 3768

up unit. (Col. 22, Line 66 through Col. 23, Line 5) Use of screws for adjusting and fixing is well known in the art and is also taught by Yarush (Col. 7, lines 49-52)

Conclusion

- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjay Cattungal whose telephone number is (571)272-1306. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 5:00 pm.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571)272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,862 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SPC

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700